# **Fitting A Line:** X<sup>2</sup>

# Fitting a Line: χ<sup>2</sup>

- Fitting a model to data is a crucial scientific technique
- Even simply fitting a line > 0 is deceptively difficult, as inference relies on -5 subjective choices and assumptions by definition



Credit: http://dan.iel.fm/emcee/current/

- One of the most common approaches adopted for fitting models to data is use of the  $\chi^2$  statistic, introduced by Pearson in 1900
- $\chi^2$  is imperfect, and, among other things, using  $\chi^2$  to derive confidence intervals for *bad* fits can be problematic

## **Fitting a Line:** $\chi^2$

- The basic  $\chi^2$  approach is:
- bin your data into i = 1, 2, 3...n bins on the x-axis

– note that even bin size is a subjective choice!

- In those x-bins, derive "observed" ("O") y-values and their *variances* (i.e.  $\sigma^2$ , the square of their *standard deviations* assuming Gaussian-distributed noise)
- For your model fit, derive your "expected" ("E") yvalues in each x-bin (e.g., for fitting a straight-line model these would be generated by y = E = mx + b)
- Calculate  $\chi^2 = \Sigma_i (O_i E_i)^2 / \sigma_i^2$  for a grid of your model parameters (e.g., for a straight line create a grid in *m* and *b*) and record  $\chi^2(m,b)$  for each model fit

## Fitting a Line: minimum $\chi^2$ and degrees of freedom

- To determine the best fit  $\chi$ model, simply find the set of model parameters that correspond to the *smallest* value of  $\chi^2$ (which we'll call  $\chi^2$ min)  $\chi^2$ mi
- A critical value associated  $m_{best}$  n with statistical fitting, and with the  $\chi^2$  goodness-of-fit approach, is the number of degrees of freedom, which we'll call *dof*
- Typically, if you are fitting for *n* bins of x-values and you are fitting *k* model parameters then *dof* = *n*-*k*-1
- The -1 is because we estimated one parameter set already from the data, which was the mean y-values in the x-bins



## $\chi^2$ hypothesis testing and confidence intervals

- To determine confidence limits (CLs) for your best model, calculate the probability that  $\chi^2$  for each set of model parameters exceeds a chosen value (P( $\chi^2$ ) >  $\alpha$ ). Note that if P( $\chi^2$ min)< $\alpha$  then your model is *rejected as a fit to the data*
- A typical ("1 $\sigma$ ") acceptance level is  $\alpha = 0.32$ ; P( $\chi^2$ ) > 0.32 means that the  $\chi^2$  corresponding to those model parameters falls within the most probable 68% of the  $\chi^2$  distribution
- The fraction of the  $\chi^2$  distribution > some  $\chi^2$  value is given by *scipy.stats.chi2.sf(\chi^2,dof*). For  $\chi^2$  values enclosed within your CLs, *scipy.stats.chi2.sf(\chi^2(m,b),dof)* >  $\alpha$
- By finding the contour for which *scipy.stats.chi2.sf(\chi^2,dof)* = $\alpha$ , you determine the CLs on your parameters

#### Some $\chi^2$ issues and the somewhat better $\Delta\chi^2$

- Using  $\chi^2$  as a goodness-of-fit statistic for confidence limits (CLs) depends on many assumptions, such as
  - were your initial errors really normally distributed? Only Gaussian noise properties will result in a  $\chi^2$ statistic drawn from the  $\chi^2$  distribution
  - is your model a good fit? If your best-fit model is almost rejected, then your CLs become tiny
- To circumvent this, it is common to derive  $\Delta \chi^2 (\Delta \chi^2 = \chi^2 \chi^2_{min})$  which is, itself, distributed according to  $\chi^2$  (So,  $\Delta \chi^2 = 1$  gives  $\alpha = 0.32$  CLs for a 1-parameter fit,  $\Delta \chi^2 = 2.3$  for a 2-parameter fit,  $\Delta \chi^2 = 3.5$  for a 3-parameter fit etc.)

- Try *scipy.stats.chi2.sf(1,1)* and *scipy.stats.chi2.sf(2.3,2)* 

• We'll improve on this method next week

#### **Python tasks**

- 1. In my week13 directory in Git is a file of (x,y) data called "line.data". Each of the 10 columns corresponds to an x bin of 0 < x < 1, 1 < x < 2, 2 < x < 3 up to 9 < x < 10. Each of the 20 rows is a y measurement in that x bin
  - Read in the file using *np.loadtxt* and find the mean and variance of the y measurements in each bin of x using *np.mean* and *np.var*
  - Note that *np.mean* and *np.var* can take an *axis* and that you need to pass *ddof=1* to *np.var*, because the mean has already been estimated once from the data
- 2. The data have been drawn from a straight line of the form y = mx + b and scattered according to a Gaussian
  - Find a range of *m* and *b* values that *could* fit the data (e.g., by plotting some y = mx + b model lines)

#### **Python tasks**

- 3. Determine  $\chi^2 (= \Sigma_i (O_i E_i)^2 / \sigma_i^2)$  for a grid of *m* and *b* that corresponds to your range of values from above
- 4. Plot each of your parameters (*m* and *b*) against  $\chi^2$  and determine the best-fit model parameters
  - the best-fit parameters correspond to the minimum  $\chi^2$
- 5. For each pair of parameters in your grid of *m* and *b* determine the 68% ( $\alpha = 0.32$  as I defined it) and 95% ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ) confidence limits for your parameters from  $\Delta \chi^2$ 
  - remember that you're fitting  $\Delta \chi^2$  for 2 parameters
- 6. Plot the data with standard deviations (not variances!) as error bars. Add your best-fit model and the 68% and 95% confidence limits as lines on the plot
  - *np.std* may be useful (don't forget to pass *ddof=1*)